## EXHIBIT 57

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
                              --000--
 4
    SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
    COMMISSION,
 5
                   Plaintiff,
 6
                                        ) Case No.
                                        ) 18 Civ. 8175 (ER)
          VS.
 7
    BARRY C. HONIG, ROBERT LADD,
 8
    ELLIOT MAZA, BRIAN KELLER,
    JOHN H. FORD, GRQ CONSULTANTS,
    INC. and HS CONTRARIAN
    INVESTMENTS, LLC,
10
                  Defendants.
11
12
13
14
15
                           DEPOSITION OF
16
                           HARVEY KESNER
                   VIA REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE
17
18
                       MONDAY, AUGUST 8, 2022
19
20
21
22
23
    Stenographically Reported by:
    Victoria L. Valine, CSR, RMR, CRR, RSA
24
    California CSR License No. 3036
25
    Job No. 220808VV
                                                                    1
```

```
1
                        REMOTE APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
    FOR PLAINTIFF:
             SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 4
             BY: Nancy A. Brown, Esq.
 5
                   Jack Kaufman, Esq.
             100 Pearl Street, Suite 20-100
             New York, New York 10004
 6
             212-336-1023
 7
             BrownN@SEC.gov
 8
 9
    FOR DEFENDANT ROBERT LADD:
10
              FORD O'BRIEN, LLP
              Attorneys at Law
11
              BY: Adam Ford, Esq.
                    Anjula Prasad, Esq.
12
              575 Fifth Avenue, Floor 17
              New York, New York 10017
13
              212-858-0040
              AFord@fordobrien.com
14
15
    Also Present: Robert Ladd
16
17
18
19
      (All parties appeared remotely via videoconference.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                                    2
```

## Case 1:18-cv-08175-ER Document 326-3 Filed 03/17/23 Page 4 of 10

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS: HARVEY KESNER	
3	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL	PAGE
4	Examination By Ms. Brown:	9
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		3

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
                              --000--
 4
    SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
    COMMISSION,
 5
                  Plaintiff,
 6
                                         Case No.
                                       ) 18 Civ. 8175 (ER)
          VS.
 7
    BARRY C. HONIG, ROBERT LADD,
    ELLIOT MAZA, BRIAN KELLER,
 8
    JOHN H. FORD, GRQ CONSULTANTS,
 9
    INC. and HS CONTRARIAN
    INVESTMENTS, LLC
10
                  Defendants.
11
12
                              --000--
13
              BE IT REMEMBERED, that on Friday, August 8,
14
    2022, commencing at the hour of 10:01 a.m., Eastern
15
    Daylight Time, all parties appearing via WebEx
    videoconference, before me, Victoria L. Valine,
16
17
    Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California,
18
    appeared:
19
                           HARVEY KESNER
20
    called as a witness by the Plaintiff, who, being by me
21
    remotely sworn, was thereupon examined and interrogated
    as hereinafter set forth.
22
23
                              --000--
24
25
```

1 that was executed in connection with the MGT 2012 2 representation. 3 Do you recall that? Okay. Not -- not specifically, but it 4 5 certainly would have been something that I would have insisted on and the firm would have insisted on --6 7 And what --Q. 8 -- if Mr. Honig or other people had been 9 investing. That's normal firm practice. It's not an 10 outlier. 11 Q. And what was the potential conflict if 12 Mr. Honig was an investor? 13 Α. There's no articulated specific potential 14 conflict, but there are always, you know, risks of 15 claims that can arise if someone's investment goes bad 16 or they're not happy and, you know, that could be --17 many people sue over many things with a very low bar and 18 threshold for those lawsuits. 19 Routine practice at every firm I've been in is 20 if you're representing an issuer in which you've 21 previously had a relationship as a representation -- an 22 investor, you'll get a conflict waiver from both sides. 23 The example I'd give you is if, you know, 24 Citibank was giving a mortgage to Ms. Brown, and then 25 later I was representing Ms. Brown in connection with a

- 1 Α. Yes. 2 And, in fact, it represents that you had some 3 interaction with Deo at NYSE. Does that help refresh your recollection about Mr. Machado and having some interactions with him? 6 It does not. It may very well be that I was 7 reviewing an email from him of some sort. It doesn't 8 really indicate what the activity was. And, again, I don't even recall the name Deo. 10 All right. So the PIPE part of this 11 transaction closed before the registered direct portion 12 of it, didn't it? 13 I don't recall. 14 All right. Can you tell us why MGT -- why 15 you're billing time to MGT? 16 I cannot tell you why I was billing time to 17 MGT other than I spent time on MGT. 18 In other transactions that you worked on where Q. 19 you were representing Mr. Honig, did you bill your time 20 on occasion to the issuer? 21 Ask that again.
- Q. On other transactions that you worked on representing Mr. Honig, did you bill the time that you spent on those transactions to the issuer?
- A. If Mr. Honig was my client involved in a

1 sometimes file on behalf of the investors their 2 beneficial holdings, their forms, as a matter that they 3 assume the cost of. So without looking at the -- you know, the 4 5 other documentation, the purchase agreement for the RD, or any side letter, I mean I just -- I can't say. 6 7 I do know that Hudson Bay routinely asks that. 8 And if they were the genesis of this documentation set, it's very possible that they have -- the issuer has an obligation to, you know, assist or at least to help 10 11 assist in these filings. 12 Q. Okay. So in the circumstances you're 13 recounting right there where Hudson Bay has a 14 contractual obligation with the issuer for the issuer to 15 file Schedule 13Gs on its behalf, and you carry out that 16 filing for Hudson Bay and the issuer, whose interests 17 are you representing when you fill out the Schedule 13G 18 for Hudson Bay? 19 You're pursuing the interest of truth and Α. 20 This is not an advocacy situation. You're accuracy. 21 not -- you're not taking a position for anybody. You're 22 receiving inputs from people, and because the 23 familiarity with the form, you're putting numbers in a form and helping people understand the answers to the 24 25 questions that represent the instructions to the form.

1 And from your understanding that means that Q. 2 Kaplowitz representing Ladd and MGT couldn't disclose 3 confidential matters to someone representing Honig? 4 Α. Repeat that. 5 That language means, to you, that Kaplowitz Q. 6 representing MGT couldn't disclose confidential 7 communications he learned in that representation to 8 someone representing Honig? Not without the consent of Ladd -- of MGT. 9 Α. You shouldn't do that. 10 11 Okay. All right. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Kaplowitz about any of this 12 13 language? I don't recall. 14 Α. 15 And do you recall any conversations with 16 Mr. Ladd about any of this language? 17 Α. I had very limited contact with Mr. Ladd. 18 It's highly unlikely. And this is pro forma 19 boilerplate, so, you know, you don't usually have many 20 discussions about this at all. This is the language we use, that's that. 21 22 Okay. So looking at the second paragraph of 23 this conflict waiver, it lists certain investors and it 24 says, 25 "You specifically acknowledge that we

## STENOGRAPHIC SHORTHAND REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, VICTORIA L. VALINE, CSR NO. 3036, RMR, CRR, RSA, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were remotely taken before me via videoconference at the time herein set forth; at which time the witness was duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed

Further, the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a federal case, before completion of the proceedings, review of transcript not requested.

under my direction; and that the transcript is a true

record of the testimony so given.

The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of the original transcript will render the Stenographer's Certificate null and void.

I further certify that I am not financially interested in the action, and I am not a relative or employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any of the parties.

Dated this 14th day of August, 2022.

Victoria L. Valine. CSR License #3036